United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,117	12/01/2003	Kazuo Suto	OKI.468C	9920	
7590 06/16/2004 VOLENTINE FRANCOS, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER		
			CHOE, HENRY		
SUITE 150 12200 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	20191		2817		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/724,117	SUTO, KAZUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Henry K Choe	2817			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum yry period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to beck.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status		,				
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 01 December 2003				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b) objected or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in a ecorrection is required if the drawn	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have been received cuments have been received the priority documents have I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/03.	9-948) Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/724,117

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,717,476. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current (child case) claim limitations are merely broader recitations of the parent case recitations.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 7-14 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,717,476. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1760.

HÉNRY CHOE RIMARY EXAMINER

#855